



Wyandotte Creek Subbasin Advisory (WAC) Committee Correspondence

From: Nicole Johansson <nicole@nicolejo.com>

Subject: Re: REMINDER & MATERIALS: Wyandotte Creek Advisory Committee Meeting, August 5 9am-Noon

Date: August 7, 2021 at 2:14:06 PM PDT

To: Tania Carlone <tcarlone@cbi.org>

Here's that info I mentioned about Enloe's landscaping plan.

<https://www.chicoer.com/2015/06/08/enloe-works-to-stay-ahead-of-the-curve-in-water-conservation/>

On Wed, Aug 4, 2021 at 4:16 PM Tania Carlone <tcarlone@cbi.org> wrote:
Good Afternoon, WAC Members:

Find attend the agenda for tomorrow's (8/5) WAC meeting with links to meeting materials.

Also, see below for Zoom log-in information, which can also be found enclosed on the meeting agenda.

See you tomorrow.

Regards,

Tania

Tania Carlone
Senior Mediator
Consensus Building Institute
Direct: [\(510\) 684-0504](tel:5106840504)
tcarlone@cbi.org
www.cbi.org

Topic: Wyandotte Creek Advisory Committee Meeting

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://cbuilding.zoom.us/j/96493299525>

Meeting ID: 964 9329 9525

One tap mobile

[+16699006833,96493299525#](tel:+1669900683396493299525) US (San Jose)

[+13462487799,96493299525#](tel:+1346248779996493299525) US (Houston)

Dial by your location

[+1 669 900 6833](tel:+16699006833) US (San Jose)

[+1 346 248 7799](tel:+13462487799) US (Houston)

[+1 253 215 8782](tel:+12532158782) US (Tacoma)

Wyandotte Creek

GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY
AGENCY

[+1 301 715 8592](tel:+13017158592) US (Germantown)

[+1 312 626 6799](tel:+13126266799) US (Chicago)

[+1 646 876 9923](tel:+16468769923) US (New York)

[888 788 0099](tel:8887880099) US Toll-free

[833 548 0276](tel:8335480276) US Toll-free

[833 548 0282](tel:8335480282) US Toll-free

[877 853 5247](tel:8778535247) US Toll-free

Meeting ID: 964 9329 9525

Find your local number: <https://>

From: Tasha Levinson <tdian@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 10:27 PM

To: BCWater <BCWaterFrontDeskHG@buttecounty.net>

Subject: Fw: PUBLIC NOTICE: Butte Subbasin Comment Period Open for several GSP Chapters

ATTENTION: This message originated from outside **Butte County**. Please exercise judgment before opening attachments, clicking on links, or replying.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Tasha Levinson <tdian@sbcglobal.net>

To: Evan Tuchinsky <ideacultivators@aol.com>; Evan Tuchinsky <evantuchinsky@outlook.com>

Cc: Willam Bynum <loftinbynum@gmail.com>; William Connelly <bconnelly@buttecounty.net>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021, 10:26:51 PM PDT

Subject: Fw: PUBLIC NOTICE: Butte Subbasin Comment Period Open for several GSP Chapters

FYI - this tells you about Butte County; Vina kept coming up in the public advisory meeting so I thought you might be interested. Key issues from that advisory meeting: (a) use defined terms - that is, if there is a single goal that applies throughout, call it the "sustainability goal" and work towards that "Sustainability Goal" rather than spelling it out in what ultimately becomes slightly different wording and consequently gives lawyers something to argue about;

(b) work for a minimum standard that is consistent for each and all of the approaches desired. In Butte, the Cal Water people were consistent in asserting that one monitoring well over a 2-year period, should not trigger State interference; BUT they seemed to want 2 wells in a single management area to be necessary sometimes but not other, all of which just leaves arguments open.

(c) be careful what you ask for: in Butte County, they kept looking to minimum/trigger standards based on non-dry years: I am so dense that it was not until a day or so later that I realized that they had avoided the critical issue we face: DRY YEARS. If you actually desire public input, give the public a chance to give you realistic input. This is carefully calculated if you desire true responses. In the case of what Butte provided, it only dealt with 2-consecutive non-dry years and required the public to independently ask "what about dry years, single or consecutive" AND THAT IS AN UNFAIR BURDEN TO PUT UPON THE PUBLIC.

Wyandotte Creek

GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY
AGENCY

With luck, I have been relatively clear. I am so very grateful that these groundwater management issues are being discussed, vetted, and striving for both beneficial and workable standards. Tasha Levinson (Oroville resident)

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Tasha Levinson <tdian@sbcglobal.net>

To: Butte County Department of Water & Resource Conservation <bcwater@buttecounty.net>

Cc: Willam Bynum <loftinbynum@gmail.com>; William Connelly <bconnelly@buttecounty.net>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021, 10:11:07 PM PDT

Subject: Re: PUBLIC NOTICE: Butte Subbasin Comment Period Open for several GSP Chapters

If dry years are "built-in", you need to say that each and every time you ask for public comment. We members of the public never will be as clued in to the details as you are so you need to make it easy for us to be involved. again, thank you. Tasha Levinson (Oroville resident)

On Tuesday, July 6, 2021, 10:05:42 PM PDT, Tasha Levinson <tdian@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

I do not know that I am at all willing to use/accept your cookies! I do know that the groundwater should be measured by no more than 2 monitoring wells in the Butte sub-basin; and that whether they are in the Oroville or South "management area" should not matter. I also realized that I am concerned by what was not said: your standards look to 2 consecutive non-dry years; WHAT ARE THE STANDARDS FOR DRY YEARS?!!! I so want these standards to work for all of Butte County; citizens need you to help; I should not need to be concerned about what was not included? Thank you so very much for including me in the process. Tasha Levinson (Oroville resident)

On Tuesday, July 6, 2021, 02:48:22 PM PDT, Butte County Department of Water & Resource Conservation <bcwater@buttecounty.net> wrote: